CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST

1. PURPOSE

This Annex outlines the relationship between data items, Data Item Descriptions (DIDs) and the Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL) at clause 7 of this Annex. The Annex also sets out the processes and procedures for the preparation, delivery, management and maintenance of data items by the Contractor, and the Commonwealth action required.

The CDRL included with this Annex is a consolidated list of data items to be delivered during the course of the Contract and includes CDRL management information. The CDRL defines the data item version, delivery schedule, quantity by type, delivery location(s), Commonwealth action period and type, maintenance requirements, and, through reference to the associated DID, the title, purpose, content and, if applicable, format requirements for each data item.

1. MANAGEMENT OF THE DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS

Where DIDs provide the specifications for data items to be delivered to the Commonwealth for Review, Approval, Acceptance and CCP approval, those DIDs are attached to this Annex, and form part of the Contract.

1. management of DATA ITEMS

The Contractor shall implement Configuration Management procedures for each data item (including reissues or amendments) in accordance with clause 6.1 of the SOW and the Approved plan that governs Configuration Management (eg, the Approved Configuration Management Plan (CMP)) to ensure that the version or issue (as appropriate) and amendment status of each data item is identified and controlled.

The Contractor shall review, update and deliver amendments or reissued data items, or confirm the continuing accuracy of data items annotated with a maintenance period, in accordance with the CDRL.

Delivery of amended, reissued or resubmitted data items shall be to the location(s) and in the format and quantities specified in the CDRL for the initial submission of the data items.

Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Commonwealth Representative, if a data item is to be amended and reissued, or the delivery was delayed, the schedule for subsequent deliveries of that data item remains unaffected.

Note to drafters: Include the following clause when DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Delivery via the Data Management System (DMS) specified in clause 2.3 of the SOW shall mean that:

the data item has been uploaded to the DMS;

each of the Commonwealth officials nominated in the CDRL has been electronically notified that the data item has been uploaded to the DMS; and

the Commonwealth Authorised Users are (subject to any restrictions defined by the Commonwealth Representative) able to access and download the data item.

1. Explanation of the cdrl

Application of this CDRL is specified in the SOW. An explanation of each CDRL column is detailed below and applies to each data item:

CDRL Line Number: This field provides the unique sequential number that identifies each data item within different functional groups (eg, MGT-100, ENG-100, etc).

Data Item Title: This field identifies the title of the data item.

SOW Clause Ref: This field shows the major clause in the SOW where the data item is identified. There may be multiple references to the data item in the SOW but generally only the first (or one) reference is shown in the CDRL.

Ref: This field provides a reference number used to identify individual deliveries of a data item.

Version: This field identifies the particular individual delivery of a data item during its lifecycle (ie, draft, final).

Note to drafters: Amend the following subclause, depending upon whether or not the DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Delivery Schedule: This field specifies the date(s) and/or events by which the data item is required to be delivered. The data items shall be delivered before 1700 hrs (local time) on the date specified, or the last Working Day before the specified date if the specified day is not a Working Day. The date of delivery applies to all delivery locations and quantities unless otherwise specified […INSERT “, including delivery via the DMS in accordance with clause 2.3 of the SOW” IF REQUIRED…]. Following are some of the abbreviations and symbols used with this column:

‘ED’ means Effective Date;

Numerals indicate the number of Working Days unless specified otherwise;

‘+’ means after the specified date or event; and

‘-' means before the specified date or event.

If a data item is required to be delivered before an event having a duration of greater than one day (eg, SRR), the data item delivery date shall be calculated from the first day of that event. If a data item is required to be delivered after an event having a duration of greater than one day (eg, SRR), the data item delivery date shall be calculated from the last day of that event.

Note to drafters: Amend the following subclause, depending upon whether or not the DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Quantity: This field specifies the total number of data items to be delivered to the associated delivery location(s), including […INSERT “the copy delivered via the DMS and” IF REQUIRED…] the number of hard (H) and soft (S) copies. […INSERT “Delivery to the DMS means that on-line access to the data item is provided to the Commonwealth Authorised Users in accordance clause 2.3 of the SOW.” IF REQUIRED…] Clause 6.1.1 of this Annex provides specific requirements relating to hard and soft copies of data items.

Note to drafters: Amend the following subclause, depending upon whether or not the DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Delivery Location: This field shows the short title of the Commonwealth official(s) to whom:

electronic notification of the delivery of data items via the DMS shall be provided; and

the hard and/or soft copies of the data items shall be delivered.

Clause 5 of this Annex shows the full delivery addresses for the hard and soft copies. The action hard copy of the data item shall be delivered to the first nominated location in this field.

Data Item Description Reference: This field provides the identification of the DID with which the data item must comply.

Commonwealth Action Period: This field defines the number of Working Days available to the Commonwealth to action the data item and respond to the Contractor, if that action requires a response. The period begins upon the date the action copy of the data item is received at the first nominated delivery location. The action period applies to all deliveries, including first deliveries, amendments and re-issues. If a data item is delivered earlier than the first delivery date shown in the CDRL, the Commonwealth is not obliged to action it until after that date. If the action period states ‘by MSR’ for a data item delivered prior to a Mandated System Review (MSR), the action period ends when the minutes for that MSR are Approved. If the action period states ‘by ED’, with ‘Approval’ as the ‘Commonwealth Action Required’, this means that, prior to ED, the Contractor had developed the data item, the Commonwealth had reviewed the data item, and the parties had agreed a version of the data item that would be Approved by the Commonwealth. On both parties signing the Contract, the version of the data item that was agreed between the parties is Approved in accordance with the Contract.

Note to drafters: Commonwealth staff are to consider the actual period required to review each data item before inserting or amending periods in the CDRL. Factors to be considered include:

1. the size and complexity of the data item;
2. whether a draft version would already have been reviewed;
3. the need to involve external agencies or approval authorities; and
4. conflicting requirements (eg, the need to review several data items concurrently).

Working Days should be used to ensure that public holidays are taken into account.

Commonwealth Action Required: This field indicates the purpose for which the data item is being submitted to the Commonwealth. This will either be Acceptance, Approval, Review or CCP approval.

Maintenance: This field specifies either the timings or the time intervals, relative to the date and/or event specified in the Delivery Schedule column, at which the data item shall be reviewed by the Contractor and either have its continuing accuracy status confirmed, in writing, or be updated and reissued. The Maintenance column does not apply to draft or preliminary versions of data items. The following abbreviations and codes are applicable to this column:

xM – every x calendar months (in addition to any other Contract requirement);

R – to enable it to be considered at each MSR;

R(E) – to enable it to be considered at each MSR set out in clause 4 and clause 6 of the SOW;

R(L) – to enable it to be considered at each MSR set out in clause 5 of the SOW;

SA – to enable it to be provided for the purposes of conducting a System Acceptance Audit (SAA);

FA – to enable it to be provided for the purposes of Final Acceptance; and

NA – not applicable.

Notes: Where necessary, additional explanatory information relating to a CDRL data item is provided in this column.

1. DELIVERY ADDRESSES

Note to drafters: Drafters should consider the distribution of data items when preparing the draft Contract. Wherever possible, arrange for the Contractor to send the data items to applicable Commonwealth locations. Amend the delivery details below and update the CDRL as required.

For the purposes of delivery of hard and soft copies of data items, the addresses of delivery points are:

**CR** Commonwealth Representative

**Project** […INSERT…]

(refer to the Details Schedule)

**RPE** Resident Project Engineer

**Project** […INSERT…]

(RPE email address, Contractor’s address)

The delivery addresses may be amended at any time by notice in writing from the Commonwealth Representative to the Contractor.

1. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR dATA ITEMS
   1. Data Item Media

Note to drafters: Amend the following subclause, depending upon whether or not the DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Subject to clause 6.4.1 and unless otherwise specified in a DID:

all electronic copies (referred to in the CDRL […as DMS and/or …] as soft (S) copy, delivered via electronic data transfer or using an agreed media), shall have margins consistent with ISO 216: A0-A4 size paper, in the following file formats:

searchable Portable Document Format (PDF), [SPECIFY PREFERRED VERSION];

[…SPECIFY PREFERRED PRODUCTS AND VERSIONS…]; and

[…SPECIFY PREFERRED PRODUCTS AND VERSIONS…]; and

all hard copies (referred to as ‘H’ in the CDRL) of data items shall be prepared and delivered on ISO 216: A0-A4 size paper.

Note to drafters: Drafters should note that USA based contractors will, as normal business practice, use ‘letter’ size paper. Requiring the use of ISO 216 metric paper sizes (eg, A4) may increase cost.

Drafters should carefully consider the preferred electronic format. The ILS clause of the SOW and the Approved TDP specify electronic format requirements for all Technical Data and the requirements specified here should be consistent with those requirements.

* 1. Format Instructions

Note to drafters: The requirements in this area may be expanded or reduced as assessed by each project on a risk basis. For example, Commonwealth Representative staff may need to control the data item reference number and format.

Subject to clause 6.4.1, the format instructions contained in this clause 6.2 shall be applied to all data items prepared under the Contract for delivery to the Commonwealth, unless otherwise specified in the appropriate DID or otherwise agreed, in writing, by the Commonwealth Representative.

When data is delivered in the form of a document, it shall include the following identification information:

the document reference number;

the document title and date of issue;

the volume number (only applicable to multi-volume data items);

the version number / revision indicator;

the security markings or other restrictions, which shall not contravene Contract requirements on the handling of the document;

the Contract number;

the CDRL line number, if the data is a data item;

the organisation for which the document has been prepared; and

the name and address of the preparing organisation.

When a data item is delivered in the form of a paginated document, and the body of that document is greater than five pages in length, it shall contain a table of contents.

When a data item is delivered in the form of a paginated document, each page shall contain a unique page number and preferably display the document number, version, volume and date of issue, as applicable.

When a data item is delivered in the form of a paginated soft copy document (including a text, spreadsheet or presentation file), and unless otherwise specified in the Contract or agreed by the Commonwealth Representative, the document shall be in a format that is compatible with Microsoft® Office applications or, if appropriate, in PDF.

Where a data item is revised or updated, and where the software used to create the data item is able to produce revision marks, the Contractor shall mark all changes made since the previous release of the data item to the Commonwealth with:

a side bar in the margin of the data item to aid review, or

an alternate means of revision marking that is acceptable to the Commonwealth.

Where the software is not able to produce revision marks, the Contractor shall accompany those affected data items with revision lists containing a summary of all changes made since the previous release to the Commonwealth.

Note to drafters: Amend the following subclause, depending upon whether or not the DMS requirements have been included at clause 2.3 of the SOW.

Where a data item is delivered in soft copy [… or by the DMS …], and unless otherwise agreed by the Commonwealth Representative, the data item shall be able to be copied and saved by the Commonwealth and capable of further manipulation (including searching, the inspection of meta-data, and printing) in the native document format.

* 1. Content Instructions

Where a preliminary or draft version of a data item is scheduled for delivery prior to Approval or Acceptance of a final version, the Contractor shall comply with the following requirements:

the preliminary version shall contain information on all of the topics pertinent to the data item and shall be:

commensurate with the status of design, development or installation of the Supplies at the time the data item is due for delivery; and

suitable for the intended purpose as stated in the Notes in column m of the CDRL; and

unless otherwise specified, the draft version shall be developed from the preliminary version, if a preliminary version was required, and to the stage where it reflects the content of the final version of the data item, but may be missing low level detail.

Where information specified for one data item has also been specified for other data items in the same format and at the same issue status, the Contractor may provide the information in one data item only and include cross-references to that data item in the other data items.

The Contractor shall ensure that all data items provided to the Commonwealth are written in grammatically correct English.

Note to drafters: The requirement for submitted data items to meet a standard (eg, Simplified Technical English derived from ASD-STE100, which is included in some DIDs) or to satisfy a certain reading grade level (eg, RGL 9) could be included as necessary. This is to ensure that the data item is written focussed at an acceptable level of reading difficulty. Talk to experts on this topic if you wish to invoke it. If you have this requirement, insert it in the relevant DIDs.

Subject to clause 6.4, data items prepared under the Contract for delivery to the Commonwealth shall contain sections providing the following information unless otherwise specified in the applicable DID:

identification of the project, system, program, plan, item, as applicable, to which the data item applies;

background that helps to situate the data item and state the intended use of the item(s) to which the data item applies;

an overview of the data item;

a list of referenced documents;

a list of acronyms and abbreviations; and

a set of definitions.

The Contractor shall ensure that all data items delivered under the Contract are marked in accordance with the markings and Confidential Information provisions of the Contract.

* 1. Use of Existing Data

Data required under the Contract may currently exist in a different format or use a different content structure than that specified in this Annex. In such cases, the data may be acceptable providing that it contains the specified information and meets the requirement for its intended use. Where the Contractor wishes to submit existing data that does not meet the format or content structure requirements contained in this Annex, then the Contractor shall seek Commonwealth Representative Approval to submit the existing data, in sufficient time for the data to be reformatted or restructured prior to the required delivery date should Approval be denied.

1. Contract Data Requirements List

Note to drafters: Before releasing an RFT, drafters:

1. must determine which DIDs will meet their project’s specific requirements for specifying data items;
2. must download the latest version of the required DIDs from the ASDEFCON website at:   
   <http://drnet.defence.gov.au/casg/commercial/CommercialPolicyFramework/Pages/ASDEFCON-Strategic-Materiel.aspx>;
3. may tailor the DIDs, but standard DIDs are to be used whenever possible (to avoid the costs to industry of non-standard data items); and
4. must amend the CDRL to reflect the data items and DIDs chosen.

DIDs are to be ‘attached’ to the RFT, and any subsequent contract, as ‘Schedule 1 to Annex C to the SOW – Data Item Descriptions’. If providing DIDs in soft copy, the DIDs should be saved (in a non-editable form) on appropriate media and ‘attached’ to the Contract by labelling the media with:

1. ‘Schedule 1 to Annex C to the SOW – Data Item Descriptions’;
2. the Contract number; and
3. a version control identifier.

References to the DMS in the following table need to be amended if a DMS has not been included at clause 2.3 of the draft SOW. In general, if there is no DMS, then ‘DMS’ in the CDRL should be replaced with ‘1S’.

In compiling the CDRL, drafters should take into account which data items are required to be delivered to and actioned by the Commonwealth by ED, and ensure that this is reflected in the CDRL. This approach, where tender data requirements are converted into Contract-ready documents prior to ED, assumes that pre-contract work will be undertaken. Data items forming part of the Contract must be completed during Offer Definition and Improvement Activities (ODIA) or other pre-contract work / negotiations. Tendered data items that are not actioned ‘by ED’ (and not forming part of the Contract), whether upgraded during ODIA / pre-contract work or not, will be included as draft data items at Attachment K. If ODIA / pre-contract work is not planned, drafters should not identify any data items for delivery at ED (other than those forming part of the Contract) and the CDRL delivery times for the data items should be adjusted to reflect a staggered delivery for these data items after ED. Drafters should review and adjust the delivery requirements for the other CDRL Line Numbers to accord with the specific requirements of the Contract (eg, many default times are not suited to individual contracts).

Government policy is to preference delivery of soft copy documents whenever possible. Requesting hard copy data items (eg, 1H) often relates to a need for signatures. If electronic signatures are acceptable, data items should be delivered in soft copy only (including via a DMS, if available), and the CDRL amended accordingly.

Note to tenderers: Data items scheduled for delivery and Commonwealth action by ED are to be developed pre-contract (eg, during an Offer Definition and Improvement Activities (ODIA) process or other pre-contract work) with the preferred tenderer(s). Other tendered data items, and tender data requirements to be superseded by data items, will be included at Attachment K, as applicable.

| CDRL Line Number | Data Item Title | SOW Clause Ref | Ref | Version | Delivery Schedule | Quantity | Delivery Location | Data Item Description Reference | C'wealth Action Period | C'wealth Action Required | Maintenance | Notes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | l | m |
| 1. MGT-100 | 1. Project Management Plan (PMP) | 1. 3.2.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-PMP | 1. by ED | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | 1. Maintenance of the PMP and other plans may also be required as exit criteria for MSRs. |
| 1. MGT-110 | 1. Contract Master Schedule (CMS) | 1. 3.2.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-DEF-CMS | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 2M until IBR |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. IBR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by end of IBR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Updates | 1. Monthly with EVPRs | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. The status of the EVPR and the CMS must be aligned. |
| 1. MGT-120 | 1. Contract Work Breakdown Structure (CWBS) | 1. 3.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-DEF-CWBS | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Draft required to confirm the veracity of the CWBS as a basis for subsequent development of execution plans by the Contractor. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. IBR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by end of IBR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Updates | 1. As required | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Refer to SOW clause 3.2.4. |
| 1. MGT-140 | 1. Quality Plan | 1. 8.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-QP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. MGT-200 | 1. Measurement Plan | 1. 3.2.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MEAS-MEASP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | 1. The Maintenance requirement applies to the main body of the Measurement Plan only. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. MSR+20 (see note) | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. The MSRs to which this update requirement applies are defined in the respective MSR Checklists attached at Annex D to the SOW. |
| 1. MGT-210 | 1. Measurement Data | 1. 3.2.6 |  |  | 1. As per Approved Measurement Plan | 1. As per Approved Measure-ment Plan | 1. CR | 1. As per Approved Measurement Plan | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-220 | 1. Process Improvement Plan | 1. 3.2.7 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-PIP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. MGT-300 | 1. Contract Status Report | 1. 3.3.1 2. 3.5.4 3. 3.6.3 4. 3.7.4 5. 3.9.1 6. 3.13.4 7. 3.14 8. 3.17 9. 10.1 10. 10.3 11. 10.4 12. 10.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-STAT-CSR | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. An AIC Compliance Certificate in accordance with SOW clause 10.1 and Annex H to Attachment I is not required for this initial delivery. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Updates | 1. Thereafter 6 monthly | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Additional deliveries will be required if the CR determines that the volume of active CCPs, ECPs, and Deviations is significant. 2. An AIC Compliance Certificate in accordance with SOW clause 10.1 and Annex H to Attachment I is only required annually. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Updates | 1. CASR portion of CSR, 2. 3 monthly after initial delivery | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-310 | 1. Earned Value Management Plan (EVMP) | 1. 3.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-EVMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 6M | Note to drafters: Amend the notes as appropriate.   1. The tendered strategy is discussed at the (ODIA / pre-contract) EVM implementation workshop and used to develop the EVMP. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. Review+20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 6M | 1. The MSRs to which this update requirement applies are defined in the respective MSR Checklists attached at Annex D to the SOW. |
| 1. MGT-320 | 1. Earned Value Performance Report | 1. 3.2.5 |  |  | 1. Monthly - accounting period end + 10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-STAT-EVPR | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. The status of the EVPR and the CMS must be aligned. |
| 1. MGT-400 | 1. System Review Plan (SRP) | 1. 3.9.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-SRP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. R |  |
| 1. MGT-410 | 1. Review Agenda | 1. 3.9.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Review - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MEET-AGENDA | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-420 | 1. Review Package | 1. 3.9.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Review - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-RVW-PACKAGE | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-430 | 1. Review Minutes | 1. 3.9.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. End of Review 2. Review + 5 | 1. 1H, 2. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MEET-MINUTES | 1. 10 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Hard copy of agreed action list, for signature at end of meeting. |
| 1. MGT-500 | 1. Meeting Agenda | 1. 3.9.1 2. 3.9.2 |  |  | 1. Start Meeting Date - 10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MEET-AGENDA | 1. 5 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-510 | 1. Meeting Minutes | 1. 3.9.1 2. 3.9.2 |  |  | 1. End of Meeting 2. Meeting + 5 for DMS | 1. 1H, 2. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MEET-MINUTES | 1. 10 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Hard copy of agree action list, for signature at end of meeting. |
| 1. MGT-600 | 1. Contract Start Up Plan | 1. 3.2.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-START-CSUP | 1. 10 | 1. Approval | 1. Monthly until IBR. |  |
| 1. MGT-610 | 1. Contract Start Up Progress Report | 1. 3.3.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As per Approved Contract Start Up Plan | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Updates | 1. Monthly thereafter until IBR | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-700 | 1. Technical Data and Software Rights Schedule | 1. 3.14 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. As per Attachment G | 1. by ED | 1. See note. | 1. 3M | 1. Include at Attachment G by ED. Subsequent updates in accordance with COC clause 5.12 require CCP. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 40 | 1. CCP approval | 1. 3M | 1. as above |
| 1. MGT-710 | 1. Government Furnished Material and Government Furnished Services | 1. 3.13.3 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. As per Attachment E | 1. by ED | 1. See note. | 1. NA | 1. Include at Attachment E. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. See note | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. CCP approval |  | 1. Update in accordance with SOW clause 3.13.3. |
| 1. MGT-800 |  | 1. 3.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note to drafters: Data items for Contract-related security (eg, a Contract security management plan) should be inserted here. |
| 1. MGT-900 | 1. Lifecycle Cost Management Plan | 1. 3.11.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-LCC-LCCMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. MGT-910 | 1. Lifecycle Cost Report and Model | 1. 3.11.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SRR - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-LCC-LCCRM | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Required for the purposes of SOW clause 3.11.3, commensurate with the maturity of the system design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. SDR - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. PDR - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Draft 4 | 1. DDR - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR - 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. f | 1. Update | 1. FA ‑ 20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Acceptance | 1. NA | 1. This version is Accepted because it will form part of the Support System. |
| 1. MGT-1000 | 1. Contractor Transition Plan (CTXP) | 1. 3.12.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-TRANS-CTXP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | Update | 1. TXRR-20 | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 12M |  |
| 1. MGT-1010 | 1. Transition Register | 1. 3.12.3 | 1. a | 1. Progressive | 1. Weekly after TXRR | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As per Approved CTXP | 1. 5 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. MGT-1100 | 1. Safety Data Sheet (SDS) | 1. 9.1 | 1. a | 1. NA | 1. Advice to CR of a Problematic Substance+10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-HSE-SDS | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. An SDS may not require delivery if already held by Defence - refer to DID-PM-HSE-SDS. Any change to an SDS is to be advised to the CR. |
| 1. MGT-1110 | 1. Health and Safety Management Plan (HSMP) | 1. 9.3 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-HSE-HSMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | Note to drafters: The delivery schedule may be amended to reflect a time closer before the start of work on Commonwealth Premises. |
|  |  | 1. 9.1 | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. Advice to CR of a Problematic Substance or a Problematic Source+10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. This update applies to the annex of Problematic Substances and Problematic Sources Approved for use on Commonwealth Premises (and not Approved in the HL). |
| 1. MGT-1200 | 1. DMS Concept of Operation Document | 1. 2.3 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-DEF-DCOD | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | Update | 1. Change – 20 See note. | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 15 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Updates for change to DMS functions or procedures. |
| 1. MGT-1300 | 1. Supplies Acceptance Certificate | 1. COC 6.8 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. When Acceptance of Supplies is required | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-SAC | 1. See note. | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Commonwealth Action Period is in accordance with COC clause 6.8. 2. Delivery locations for data items are specified by this CDRL. Other deliverables are specified through the Delivery Schedule. |
| 1. MGT 1400 | 1. Application for a Deviation | 1. 4.2 2. 8.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. When required | 1. 1H, DMS See note. | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-AFD | 1. See note. | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Commonwealth action period is dependent on the extent of the Deviation and availability of supporting information. 2. If an application requires supporting documents, then two hard copies and one soft / DMS copy shall be provided. |
| 1. ENG-100 | 1. Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP) | 1. 4.1.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-SEMP | 1. by ED | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-110 | 1. Application for Engineering Organisation Approval | 1. 4.1.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-AEOA | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | Note to drafters: Amend the delivery schedule as required. |
| 1. ENG-120 | 1. Application for Maintenance Organisation Approval | 1. 4.1.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-MNT-AMOA | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | Note to drafters: Amend the delivery schedule as required. |
| 1. ENG-200 | 1. System Specification (SS) | 1. 4.2.2 2. 4.6.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-DEF-SS | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SDR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. CCP approval | 1. R(E) | 1. Include as Part B of Annex A to the SOW. Further Parts may be added (eg, classified specifications). 2. Updates to this data item must be accompanied by a CCP. |
| 1. ENG-250 | 1. Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) | 1. 4.5.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-TRACE-RTM | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Includes all trace requirements between FPS/OCD, SS and SSSPEC, as defined by the SOW. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Includes all trace requirements to enable the Functional Baselines to be established. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Update | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M, R(E) and each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-280 | 1. System Architecture Description | 1. 4.5.5.2 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SDR-30 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-SAD | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To confirm that system-level requirements and design are harmonised. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-30 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To consider the preliminary design level of the system architecture and confirm that the design is likely to satisfy the Commonwealth’s needs and requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To consider the detailed design level of the system architecture and confirm that the design is likely to satisfy the Commonwealth’s needs and requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(E), each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-300 | 1. Site Installation Plan | 1. 4.4.1 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-SIP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that site-installation considerations have been appropriately considered in the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that site-installation considerations have been appropriately considered in the Support System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. Initial Site Installation – 60 2. (see Notes) | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. This instance of the SIP only needs to address the scope of initial site installation activities. |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Update | 1. Each Site Installation – 40 2. (see Notes) | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. See Notes | 1. The SIP is to be updated to cover the scope of installation activities for each new installation location, as it becomes available to enable installation activities to occur. |
| 1. ENG-310 | 1. Software Management Plan (SWMP) | 1. 4.4.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SW-SWMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-320 | 1. Software List | 1. 4.4.2 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SW-SWLIST | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To understand the scope of Software, particularly Software development, associated with the Contract. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To identify any changes in the Software and to assess their implications for the Contract. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Draft 4 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | each SA |  |
| 1. ENG-380 | System Integration Plan (SINTP) | 1. 4.4.4 | 1. a | Draft | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-SINTP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | Final | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-400 | 1. Mission System Technical Documentation Tree (MSTDT) | 1. 4.5.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-MTDI | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. R(E) and 3M after DDR |  |
| 1. ENG-410 | 1. Design Documentation | 1. 4.5.1 | 1. a | 1. As per Approved MSTDT | 1. As per Approved MSTDT | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As per Approved MSTDT | 1. As per Approved MSTDT | 1. As per Approved MSTDT | 1. R(E) and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-450 | Drawing List | 1. 4.5.4 | a | 1. Draft | 1. PDR-40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-MTDI | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | b | 1. Final | 1. DDR-40 | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | c | 1. Update | 1. PCA-20 | DMS | CR |  | by MSR | Approval | each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-460 | 1. Engineering Drawings | 1. 4.5.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. DDR-40 | 1. 1H, 1S | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-HW-DWGS | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To confirm the maturity of the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. PCA-20 | 1. 1H, 1S | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Acceptance | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-500 | 1. Growth Plan | 1. 4.6.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-GP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-520 | 1. Integrated RM&T Plan (IRMTP) | 1. 4.6.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-IRMTP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-540 | 1. Human Engineering Program Plan (HEPP) | 1. 4.6.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-HEPP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-550 | 1. Human Engineering Program Report | 1. 4.6.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-DES-HEPR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that human engineering has been appropriately considered in the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA |  |
| 1. ENG-580 | 1. Electromagnetic Environmental Effects Management Plan | 1. 4.6.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-E3MP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-600 | 1. System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) | 1. 4.6.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-SSPP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-610 | 1. Hazard Analysis Report (HAR) | 1. 4.6.6 2. 4.6.9 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-HAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. The hazard analyses to be reported are defined in the Approved SSPP. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Draft 4 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. as above |
| 1. ENG-620 | 1. Hazard Log (HL) | 1. 4.6.6 2. 4.6.9 | 1. a | 1. Progressive | 1. Applicable  MSR-20  See note. | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-HL | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. The HL provides information for each HAR. Applicable MSRs are those for which a HAR is delivered (as above). |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. FA-40 | 1. 1S | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Acceptance | 1. NA | 1. This version is Accepted because it will form part of the Support System. |
| 1. ENG-650 | 1. Safety Case Report (SCR) | 1. 4.6.6 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-SCR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that safety has been appropriately considered in the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that safety has been appropriately considered for the Materiel System. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. First SAA-20 | 1. 1H, 1S | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Acceptance | 1. each SA and FA | 1. This version is Accepted because it will form part of the Support System. |
| 1. ENG-700 | 1. Materiel System Security Management Plan | 1. 4.6.7.3 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-MGT-MSSMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ENG-710 | 1. Physical Security Design Document | 1. 4.6.7.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-PSECDD | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | Note to drafters: If a PSecDD is required for an element of the Support System, drafters should add this requirement as a separate CDRL Line Number due to the different timings for delivery.   1. To ensure that physical security has been appropriately considered in the system-level design for the Mission System. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that physical security has been appropriately considered in the preliminary design for the Mission System. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-720 | 1. Emanation Security Control Plan | 1. 4.6.7.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-ESCP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that EMSEC has been appropriately considered in the preliminary design for the Mission System. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-730 | 1. System Security Plan | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Pt1 Draft | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-SSP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the system-level architecture for each SSoI appropriately considers security requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Full Draft | 1. PDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that, in conjunction with the draft SRMP, the preliminary design of each SSoI appropriately considers security requirements and is likely to be able to achieve the required Security Authorisations. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-735 | 1. Security Risk Management Plan | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-SRMP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that, in conjunction with the draft SSP, the preliminary design of each SSoI appropriately considers security requirements and is likely to be able to achieve the required Security Authorisations. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-740 | 1. In‑Service Security Management Plan | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. DDR+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-SSM-ISSMP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. First SA-40 |  |  |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. See Notes | Note to drafters: Amend the Maintenance column to ‘Each SA and FA’ and delete the following notes if there is no linked Contract (Support).   1. This Final version will be pulled through into the Contract (Support) to provide the governing plan for Materiel System security under that Contract. 2. Maintenance and Update requirements for this data item are set out in the CDRL in the Contract (Support). |
| 1. ENG-745 | Security Standard Operating Procedures | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. DDR+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-SSOP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. First SA-40 |  |  |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. See Notes | Note to drafters: Amend the Maintenance column to ‘Each SA and FA’ and delete the following notes if there is no linked Contract (Support).   1. This Final version will be pulled through into the Contract (Support) to provide the governing plan for Materiel System security under that Contract. 2. Maintenance and Update requirements for this data item are set out in the CDRL in the Contract (Support). |
| 1. ENG-750 | 1. Cyber Supply Chain Risk Plan | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-CSCRP | 1. by ED | 1. Approval | 1. LLTIR (See Notes) | 1. The Maintenance requirement applies to any LLTIR held prior to PDR. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. PDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(E), R(L) (See Notes) | 1. Maintenance requirements apply to DDR, SSSDR and any subsequent LLTIRs. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. Each Provisioning MSR-20 (see Notes) |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. Provisioning MSRs include LLTIRs, SPPR, S&TEPPR and TEPPR. |
| 1. ENG-760 | 1. Cyber Security Case Report | 1. 4.6.7.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-CCR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that cyber security has been appropriately considered in the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. As above. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. SSDDR-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that cyber security has been appropriately considered for the Materiel System. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. First SAA-20 |  |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Acceptance | 1. each SA and FA | 1. This version is Accepted because it will form part of the Support System. |
| 1. ENG-800 | 1. Equipment Certification To Access Radiofrequency Spectrum (ECARS) | 1. 4.6.8 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-ECARS | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that RF spectrum considerations have been appropriately incorporated into the requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that RF spectrum considerations have been appropriately incorporated into the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. DDR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 12M and each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ENG-850 |  | 1. 4.6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note to drafters: Add data items for Environmental Engineering, SOW clause 4.6.9, as required, otherwise delete. |
| 1. ENG-900 |  | 1. 4.8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note to drafters: This line is reserved for a Certification Plan in accordance with SOW clause 4.8, if required; otherwise delete. |
| 1. ENG-910 | 1. Design Certificate | 1. 4.8.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. FCA+10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ENG-SOL-DCERT | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Draft required to confirm that the Design Certificate will satisfy the applicable regulatory requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SA-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. ILS-100 | 1. Integrated Support Plan (ISP) | 1. 5.1.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-MGT-ISP | 1. by ED | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ILS-200 | 1. Support System Specification (SSSPEC) | 1. 5.2.2 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DEF-SSSPEC | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SDR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. CCP approval | 1. R(L) | 1. Include as Part C of Annex A to the SOW (following the SS). 2. Updates to this data item must be accompanied by a CCP. |
| 1. ILS-210 | 1. Support System Description (SSDESC) | 1. 5.2.2 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-SSDESC | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. R(E) | 1. To assist with providing assurance that the Support System requirements are feasible. To ensure that the requirements and design are harmonised. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) and each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ILS-300 | 1. Task Inventory Report (Operating Support) | 1. 5.2.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the description of operator tasks is consistent with the Mission System design and human factors requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-310 | 1. Task Resources Report (Operating Support) | 1. 5.2.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Support Resources for operating the Mission System are consistent with the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) | 1. To use when confirming Support Resource provisioning recommendations. |
| 1. ILS-320 | 1. Task Procedures Report (Operating Support) | 1. 5.2.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that operating procedures are commensurate with the Mission System design, and human factors and safety requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that operating procedures are commensurate with the Mission System and Support System design, and human factors and safety requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-330 | 1. Task Personnel Competency Report (Operating Support) | 1. 5.2.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that personnel competencies are commensurate with the Mission System design, and human factors and safety requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that personnel competencies are commensurate with the Mission System and Support System design, and human factors and safety requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-400 | 1. Task Inventory Report (Engineering Support) | 1. 5.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-410 | 1. Task Resources Report (Engineering Support) | 1. 5.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-420 | 1. Task Procedures Report (Engineering Support) | 1. 5.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-430 | 1. Task Personnel Competency Report (Engineering Support) | 1. 5.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-440 | 1. Software Support Plan (SWSP) | 1. 5.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-SW-SWSP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the software-support considerations are consistent with the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. To ensure that the software-support considerations are consistent with the Support System design. |
| 1. ILS-500 | 1. Task Inventory Report (Maintenance Support) | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of the interactions between the Mission System design and the maintenance requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of the interactions between the Mission System design and the maintenance requirements and to ensure that no Mission System design rework is required as a result of FMECA or RCM analyses identifying inappropriate maintenance tasks. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-510 | 1. Task Resources Report (Maintenance Support) | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of the interactions between the Mission System design and the maintenance resource requirements. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To use when confirming Support Resource provisioning recommendations. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-520 | 1. Task Procedures Report (Maintenance Support) | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-530 | 1. Task Personnel Competency Report (Maintenance Support) | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-540 | 1. FMECA Report | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-FMECAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that FMECA has been considered during Mission System design and that the Support System design is harmonised with the FMECA outcomes. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. To ensure that FMECA has been appropriately considered in the Support System design. |
| 1. ILS-550 | 1. RCM Analysis Report | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-RCMAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Partial delivery consistent with design maturity. 2. To ensure Mission System design and Support System Component designs will be maintainable. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Completed RCM for Mission System. 2. To ensure Mission System design is maintainable with minimal risk of design change, and to ensure Support System Component designs will be maintainable. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. By MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ILS-560 | 1. LORA Report | 1. 5.2.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-LORAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the implications of maintainability have been addressed in the Mission System’s design and that Support System design is harmonised with LORA outcomes. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. To ensure the maintainability of the Mission System design and the design of the Maintenance Support system are consistent. |
| 1. ILS-600 | 1. Task Inventory Report (Supply Support) | 1. 5.2.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-610 | 1. Task Resources Report (Supply Support) | 1. 5.2.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. To use when confirming Support Resource provisioning recommendations. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-620 | 1. Task Procedures Report (Supply Support) | 1. 5.2.6 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) | 1. To ensure consistency with scope required for supply procedures Technical Data. |
| 1. ILS-630 | 1. Task Personnel Competency Report (Supply Support) | 1. 5.6.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-640 | 1. Disposal Plan | 1. 5.2.6 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-DISP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. R | 1. To ensure that Disposal issues have been appropriately considered in the Mission System design and for the Support System Components. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. ILS-700 | 1. Performance Needs Analysis Report (PNAR) | 1. 5.2.7 2. 5.2.8 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-PNAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To document progress of the performance requirements analysis and to ensure that all aspects of the Mission System design have been included. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-705 | 1. Training Requirements Specification (TRS) |  | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DEF-TRS | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. . |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. ILS-710 | 1. Task Inventory Report (Training Support) | 1. 5.2.7 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-720 | 1. Task Resources Report (Training Support) | 1. 5.2.7 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To use when confirming Support Resource provisioning recommendations. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-730 | 1. Task Procedures Report (Training Support) | 1. 5.2.7 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) | 1. To ensure consistency with scope required for training procedures within Technical Data. |
| 1. ILS-740 | 1. Task Personnel Competency Report (Training Support) | 1. 5.2.7 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-DES-TAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
| 1. ILS-800 | 1. Supply Support Development Plan (SSDP) | 1. 5.1.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-SUP-SSDP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ILS-810 | 1. Recommended Spares Provisioning List (RSPL) | 1. 5.2.8 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. LLTIR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-SUP-RSPL | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of LLTIs only. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To address the design implications of the Sparing approach. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Spares requirements and the Support System design are consistent. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. SPPR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
| 1. ILS-820 | 1. Packaging Provisioning List (PACKPL) | 1. 5.2.8 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-SUP-PACKPL | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that any specific Packaging requirements and the Support System design are consistent. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SPPR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
| 1. ILS-900 | 1. Training Support Plan (TSP) | 1. 5.1.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TNG-TSP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. ILS-910 | 1. Draft Learning Management Package (Draft LMP) | 1. 5.2.8.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TNG-LMP | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. A Draft LMP (including sections 1 to 3) is required for each Training course. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | NA |  |
| 1. ILS-920 | 1. Learning Management Package (LMP) | 1. 5.3.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. TNGRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TNG-LMP | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Required for the TNGRR prior to each Training course (or series of). |
|  |  |  | 1. b | Draft 2 | 1. Trial course+20 See note. | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | 1. This version is to incorporate updates from the trial course. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | Final | 1. SAA-20 See note. | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Acceptance | 1. NA | 1. The applicable SAA is the one that enables Transition of responsibility for the Training course. |
| 1. ILS-930 | Training Materials List (TML) | 1. 5.2.8.4 | a | Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-MTDI | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. TML content is mostly contained within the SSTDL, if deliveries coincide. |
|  |  |  | b | Update | 1. TNGRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | c | Update | FA-40 | DMS | CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | NA | 1. Delivered with / as part of the final SSTDL update. |
| 1. ILS-940 | 1. Training Equipment List (TEL) | 1. 5.2.8.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. LLTIR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TNG-TEL | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of LLTIs only. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. For consideration in conjunction with the PNAR. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. TARR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Training Equipment required and the Support System design are consistent. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. TEPPR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
| 1. ILS-950 | 1. Computer Based Training (CBT) | 1. 5.3.4 | 1. a | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TNG-CBT | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 6M | Note to drafters: Include CBT packages / materials if required in Specifications, otherwise delete. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. [...TBD...] | 1. As per Att B to the Contract | 1. CR |  |  | 1. Acceptance |  |  |
| 1. ILS-1000 | 1. Technical Data Plan (TDP) | 1. 5.1.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-TDP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | Update | DDR-20 | 1. DMS |  |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval |  | 1. For final ‘Business Rules Decision Points’ for S1000D Technical Data. |
| 1. ILS-1010 | 1. Support System Technical Data List (SSTDL) | 1. 5.2.8.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-MTDI | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. R(L) |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Update | 1. Each SA-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
|  |  |  | 1. e | 1. Update | 1. FA‑40 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. ILS-1020 | 1. Data Accession List (DAL) | 1. 5.2.8.5 | 1. a | 1. Progressive | 1. Two monthly after SDR | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-DAL | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. ILS-1030 | 1. Publications Tree | 1. 5.3.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-MTDI | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure consistency with Mission System design. Publications Tree content is mostly contained in the SSTDL, if deliveries coincide. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | 1. To ensure that the final version is consistent with the Support System design. |
| 1. ILS-1040 | 1. Publication Packages | 1. 5.3.3 | 1. a | 1. As per Approved Publications Tree | 1. As per Approved Publications Tree | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-PUBPACK | 1. 40 | 1. see notes | 1. each SA and FA | Note to Drafters: Confirm if the authorised copy of publications must be in hard copy. If not, hard copies (1H) may not be required.  Commonwealth actions:  for Draft Publications - Approval   1. for Final Publications - Acceptance |
| 1. ILS-1050 | 1. Codification Data (CDATA) | 1. 5.3.3 | 1. a | 1. Initial see note. | 1. DDR+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-CDATA | 1. 40 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. For new elements of the Mission System design that are unlikely to change, and updates for items already codified. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 40 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. For Support System Components that are unlikely to change, additional Mission System elements, and updates for items already codified. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SPPR+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 40 | 1. Approval | 1. 6M | 1. For all remaining elements of the Material System, including updates. |
| 1. ILS-1060 | 1. Logistic Support Analysis Record (LSAR) | 1. 5.3.3 | 1. a | 1. Progressive see note. | 1. MSR-20  See note. | 1. 1S | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-TDATA-LSAR | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Not delivered for IBR. As a minimum, data is populated to the extent described in the DID for each MSR. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. Each SA-20 | 1. 1S | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. FA‑40 | 1. 1S | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Acceptance | 1. NA | 1. This version is Accepted because it will form part of the Support System. |
| 1. ILS-1110 | 1. S&TE Provisioning List (S&TEPL) | 1. 5.2.8.6 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. LLTIR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-S&TE-S&TEPL | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. For consideration of LLTIs only. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To address any design implications associated with the use of S&TE. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Draft 3 | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Spares requirements and the Support System design are consistent. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Final | 1. S&TEPPR-20 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. 6M |  |
| 1. ILS-1210 | 1. Facilities Requirements Analysis Report (FRAR) | 1. 5.2.8.7 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-FAC-FRAR | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. 6M | 1. To ensure that the Facilities considerations are consistent with the Mission System and Support System designs and the draft SSDESC. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. ILS-1310 | 1. Personnel Resource Requirements List | 1. 5.2.8.8 | 1. a | 1. Draft 1 | 1. PDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-ILS-PERS-PRRL | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Personnel requirements are consistent with the Mission System design. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Draft 2 | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. as above |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Final | 1. SSDDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA | 1. To ensure that the Personnel requirements are consistent with the Support System design. |
| 1. CM-100 | 1. Configuration Management Plan (CMP) | 1. 6.1.1 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED+40 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-CM-MGT-CMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. CM-110 | 1. Configuration Status Accounting (CSA) Reports | 1. 6.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-CM-DATA-CSAR | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. R(E)-20, and each FCA, PCA and SA |  |
| 1. CM-120 | CSA Exchange Data | 1. 6.5 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | CR | 1. DID-CM-DATA-XDATA | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA | Note to drafters: Delete if CSA data transfer is not required.   1. Draft used to verify and agree the exchange schema. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. DDR+20 | 1. DMS | CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 6M and each FCA, PCA and SA, and FA-20 |  |
| 1. CM-130 | 1. Engineering Change Proposal | 1. 6.4 |  |  | 1. When required | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-CM-MGT-ECP | 1. 40 2. (or as agreed between the parties) | 1. For Major Changes: CCP approval. 2. For Minor Changes: Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. V&V-100 | 1. Verification and Validation Plan | 1. 7.1.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. ED | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-MGT-V&VP | 1. by ED | 1. Approval | 1. R(E) and 12M after DDR |  |
| 1. V&V-200 | 1. Verification Cross Reference Matrix (VCRM) | 1. 7.1.3 | 1. a | 1. Pt1 Draft | 1. SRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-DEF-VCRM | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To ensure that the Mission System and Support System requirements are verifiable and that a method of verification has been established for each requirement. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Pt 1 Final | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. R(E) and SSDDR | 1. To correspond with the SS and SSSPEC requirements for the Mission System and Support System, respectively. |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Pt2 Progressive | 1. Monthly during testing | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. To provide updates to the status tracking during the V&V process. |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Pt 2 Final | 1. FCA | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. each SA and FA |  |
| 1. V&V-250 | 1. Contractor’s Previous V&V Results Package | 1. 7.1.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. SDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-DEF-PV&VRP | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. R(E) |  |
| 1. V&V-300 | 1. Acceptance Test Plan (ATP) | 1. 7.2.3 2. 7.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. DDR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-TST-ATPLAN | 1. by MSR | 1. Review | 1. NA | 1. Includes all ATPs for the complete Acceptance Verification program. |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. Each TRR-60 | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Includes the ATP(s) applicable to the particular TRR and phase. |
| 1. V&V-310 | 1. Acceptance Test Procedures (ATProcs) | 1. 7.2.3 2. 7.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Each TRR-20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-TST-ATPROC | 1. by MSR | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. Includes the ATProcs applicable to the particular TRR and phase. |
| 1. V&V-320 | 1. Acceptance Test Reports (ATRs) | 1. 7.2.3 2. 7.2.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Test completion + 5 | 1. 1H, DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-V&V-TST-ATREP | 1. 10 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | 1. The CR may agree to a revised delivery schedule and action period for more complex ATProcs. |
| 1. AIC-100 | 1. Australian Industry Capability Plan | 1. 10.1 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+3M | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-AICP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. ED+7M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M, or when change required |  |
| 1. AIC-110 | 1. Industry Forum Agenda | 1. 10.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Forum-10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As defined by the Contractor | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. AIC-120 | 1. Industry Forum Presentation Package | 1. 10.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Forum-10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As defined by the Contractor | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. AIC-130 | 1. Industry Forum Minutes | 1. 10.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. Forum+10 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. As defined by the Contractor | 1. 10 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
| 1. AIC-150 | 1. ANZ Subcontractor Technical Data List | 1. 10.2 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+6M | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-ASTDL | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. ED+9M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. SDR+3M and PDR+3M |  |
|  |  |  | 1. c | 1. Update | 1. DDR+3M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. d | 1. Update | 1. SSDDR+3M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. AIC-160 | 1. AIC Remediation Plan | 1. COC 4.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. When required iaw COC clause 4.4 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-MGT-RP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. AIC-200 | 1. Defence-Required Australian Industrial Capability Plan | 1. 10.3 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+3M | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-DRAICP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. ED+7M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. AIC-300 | 1. Supply Chain Management Plan | 1. 10.4 | 1. a | 1. Draft | 1. ED+3M | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-SCMP | 1. 20 | 1. Review | 1. NA |  |
|  |  |  | 1. b | 1. Final | 1. ED+7M | 1. DMS | 1. CR |  | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M |  |
| 1. AIC-310 | 1. Materiel Procurement Strategy | 1. 10.4 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. CR Notice+20 | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-MPS | 1. 10 | 1. Approval | 1. NA |  |
| 1. AIC-320 | 1. Materiel Procurement Business Case | 1. 10.4 2. 10.5 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. See notes. | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-MPBC | 1. 10 | 1. See notes. | 1. NA | 1. Delivery is required within 10 Working Days following the applicable trigger event described in the SOW. 2. Commonwealth Action:   If provided under SOW clause 10.4: Review   1. If provided under SOW clause 10.5: Approval |
| 1. AIC-400 | 1. Research and Development Management Plan | 1. 10.6.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. [TBD] | 1. DMS | 1. CR | 1. DID-PM-AIC-R&DMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. 12M | Note to drafters: Plans and reports may be added for additional R&D activities.   1. R&DMP for […INSERT Activity…]. |
| 1. AIC-410 | R&D Report | 1. 10.6.2 | 1. a | 1. Final | 1. see notes | 1. DMS | CR | 1. as per Approved R&DMP | 1. 20 | 1. Approval | 1. NA | R&D Report for […INSERT Activity…]. Deliver in accordance with the Approved R&DMP. |